IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
	Plaintiff,) Case Number 8:11MJ127)
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER)
НА	ZAEL SERRANO-MERA,))
	Defendant.))
A.		rsuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail -named defendant detained pursuant to 18
B.	conditions will reasonably assure required. X By clear and convincing evidence	tion because it finds: nce that no condition or combination of the appearance of the defendant as
C.	that which was contained in the Pretrial X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: reentry rer 1326(a) is a serious of years imprisonment. (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves	moved alien in violation of 8 U.S.C § crime and carries a maximum penalty of 2 e of violence.
	(a) General Factors: The defendar may affect where the defendar X The defendar	against the defendant is high. ics of the defendant including: Int appears to have a mental condition which hether the defendant will appear. Int has no family ties in the area. Int has no steady employment. Int has no substantial financial resources.

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		The defendant is not a long time resident of the				
		community.				
		The defendant does not have any significant community ties.				
		Past conduct of the defendant:				
		 ,				
		The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse.				
		 X The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. X The defendant has a significant prior criminal record. 				
		X The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at				
		court proceedings.				
		(b) At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:				
		Probation				
		Parole				
		Supervised Release				
		Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of				
		sentence. (c) Other Factors:				
		X The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to				
		deportation.				
		The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to				
		deportation if convicted.				
		X The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement				
		(BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.				
		Other:				
X	(4)	The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's				
		release are as follows:				
		3 prior removals 2002, 2007, 2008				
		Prior felony conviction - 2006				
	(5)	Rebuttable Presumptions				
	(0)	In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also				
		relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C.				
		§ 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:				
		_ (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably				
		assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the				
		safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:				
		(1) A crime of violence; or				
		(1) At office of violeties, of (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life				
		imprisonment or death; or				
		(3) A controlled substance violation which has a				
		maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or				

DETENTION	ORDER	-	Page	3

		(4)	two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
 	(b)	That no con	dition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		assure the a	appearance of the defendant as required and the
		safety of the	e community because the Court finds that there is
		probable ca	use to believe:
		. (1)	That the defendant has committed a controlled
			substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
			10 years or more.
		(2)	That the defendant has committed an offense under
		(-/	18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during
			and in relation to any crime of violence, including a
			crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced
			punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or
			dangerous weapon or device).
			daligorodo wodpoli or dovido).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: May 26, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge